Our File: P/1228-214

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Confirmation No.: 1626

Magnus PELZ et al.

Date: February 28, 2011

Serial No.: 10/594,645 Filed:

September 28, 2006

Group Art Unit: 3748 Examiner: Douglas J. Duff

For:

ARRANGEMENT FOR RECIRCULATION OF EXHAUST GASES.

OF A SUPER-CHARGED INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINE

## VIA EFS-WEB

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.181(A)

Sir

The present Supplemental Petition is filed further to the Petition filed September 3, 2010 to withdraw a holding of abandonment based on failure to receive the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed December 16, 2009.

Subsequent to the filing on September 3, 2010 of the Petition, the attached Notice of Abandonment mailed January 26, 2011 was received. The Notice of Abandonment notes that because of Applicant's failure to file a proper reply to the Office correspondence of September 4, 2009 and not withstanding the reply stated to have been received on September 3, 2010, the application is abandoned.

The Petition filed September 3, 2010 already addresses why the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment was not respondent to in a timely manner, namely, it explains that the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment was not received by our office soon after its mail date of December 16, 2009. Accordingly, no further response is believed to be necessary at this time.

01219492.1 -1Applicant requests withdrawal of a holding of abandonment on the ground that the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121) was not received in the offices of the undersigned.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE EFS FILING SYSTEM ON February 28, 2011

RCF:GB/il

Respectfully submitted,

Robert C. Faber

Registration No.: 24,322 OSTROLENK FABER LLP

1180 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036-8403 Telephone: (212) 382-0700

01219492.1 -2-



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/594,645	09/28/2006	Magnus Pelz	P/1228-214	1626
2392 01/26/2011 OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403			EXAMINER	
			DUFF, DOUGLAS J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3748	
				·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/26/2011	DADED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.





	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		1				
Notice of Abandonment	10/594,645 Examiner	PELZ ET AL. Art Unit				
	DOUGLAS J. DUFF	3748				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
This application is abandoned in view of:						
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic         <ul> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Neperiod for reply (including a total extension of time of</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired o	), which is after the expiration of the n				
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.						
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).						
(c)   A reply was received on <u>03 September 2010</u> but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) No reply has been received.		+				
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ol>						
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on(with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-65).						
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.						
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).						
<ul> <li>(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>						
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.						
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.						
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>						
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.						
7. The reason(s) below:						
/Thomas E. Denion/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3748	/Douglas J Duff/ Examiner, Art Unit 374	18				

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filled to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Pears and Transmis